

September 2006

SCH No. 2005111057

BP CARSON REFINERY

SAFETY, COMPLIANCE AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Volume I: Final Environmental Impact Report

Executive Officer

Barry Wallerstein, D. Env.

Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Elaine Chang, DrPH

Assistant Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Laki Tisopulos, Ph.D, P.E.

Planning and Rules Manager

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Susan Nakamura

Submitted to:

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT, INC.

Reviewed by: Barbara Radlein - Air Quality Specialist
Steve Smith, Ph.D. - Program Supervisor
Jeri Voge - Senior Deputy District Counsel

PREFACE

This document constitutes the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the BP Safety, Compliance, and Optimization Project. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review and comment period on June 20, 2006. The comment period ended on August 3, 2006. No comment letters were received during the public comment period on the Draft EIR. Subsequent to the release of the Draft EIR, additional engineering review of the SCAQMD permit applications for certain refinery unit modifications has been completed that resulted in minor changes to fugitive component counts and related air emissions. The Final EIR was modified from that in the Draft EIR to include these changes. The proposed project changes were evaluated and minor modifications have been made to the Draft EIR such that it is now a Final EIR. None of the modifications alter any conclusions reached in the Draft EIR, nor provide new information of substantial importance relative to the draft document that would require recirculation of the Draft EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15088.5. Therefore, this document is now a Final EIR. Additions to the text of the EIR are denoted using italics. Text that has been eliminated is shown using ~~strike outs~~.

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

Chairman: WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D.
Speaker of the Assembly Representative

Vice Chairman: S. ROY WILSON, Ed.D.
Supervisor, Fourth District
Riverside County Representative

MEMBERS

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County Representative

JANE W. CARNEY
Senate Rules Committee Appointee

RONALD O. LOVERIDGE
Mayor, City of Riverside
Cities Representative, Riverside County

GARY OVITT
Supervisor, Fourth District
San Bernardino County Representative

JAN PERRY
Councilmember, Ninth District
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Western Region

MIGUEL A. PULIDO
Mayor, City of Santa Ana
Cities Representative, Orange County

TONIA REYES URANGA
Councilmember, City of Long Beach
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Eastern Region

JAMES W. SILVA
Supervisor, Second District
Orange County Representative

CYNTHIA VERDUGO-PERALTA
Governor's Appointee

DENNIS YATES
Mayor, Chino
Cities Representative, San Bernardino County

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BARRY WALLERSTEIN, D. Env.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
BP CARSON REFINERY
SAFETY, COMPLIANCE AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT
DRAFT FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

VOLUME I

Page No.

1.0	INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2	PURPOSE/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS	1-1
1.3	SCOPE AND CONTENT	1-2
1.4	RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES	1-3
1.5	INTENDED USES OF THE EIR	1-3
1.6	AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	1-4
1.7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 2: PROJECT DESCRIPTION ...	1-4
1.7.1	Project Location	1-4
1.7.2	Land Use and Zoning	1-4
1.7.3	Existing Refinery Configuration and Operation	1-4
1.7.4	Proposed Project Modifications to the Refinery	1-5
1.8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 3: EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1-10
1.8.1	Air Quality	1-11
1.8.2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-11
1.8.3	Noise	1-11
1.8.4	Transportation and Traffic	1-12
1.9	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 4: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	1-13
1.9.1	Air Quality	1-13
1.9.2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-14
1.9.3	Noise	1-15
1.9.4	Transportation and Traffic	1-16
1.10	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	1-17
1.10.1	Air Quality	1-18
1.10.2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-19
1.10.3	Noise	1-20
1.10.4	Transportation and Traffic	1-21
1.11	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES	1-22
1.12	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – CHAPTERS 7 AND 8: REFERENCES, ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	1-23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 2-1

 2.1 INTRODUCTION 2-1

 2.2 PROJECT OBJECTIVES 2-1

 2.3 PROJECT LOCATION 2-2

 2.4 LAND USE AND ZONING 2-2

 2.5 EXISTING REFINERY CONFIGURATION AND OPERATION 2-5

 2.6 PROPOSED PROJECT MODIFICATIONS TO THE REFINERY 2-5

 2.6.1 Modify Existing Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit..... 2-5

 2.6.2 Install New Fluid Feed Hydrodesulfurization Reactor 2-9

 2.6.3 Modify Existing Alky Merox Unit 2-10

 2.6.4 Modify Existing Alkylation Unit 2-10

 2.6.5 Modify Existing Hydrocracker Unit 2-11

 2.6.6 Modify Existing Coker Gas Debutanizer Pressure Relief Valve..... 2-12

 2.6.7 Modify Existing Sulfur Plant 2-12

 2.6.8 Modify Existing Vapor Recovery Systems..... 2-13

 2.6.9 Install New North Area Flare Gas Recovery System 2-14

 2.6.10 Modify Pressure Relief Devices 2-14

 2.6.11 Environmental Benefits of the Proposed Project 2-15

 2.7 CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT 2-16

 2.8 OPERATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT..... 2-16

 2.9 PERMITS AND APPROVALS..... 2-16

3.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING..... 3-1

 3.1 INTRODUCTION 3-1

 3.2 AIR QUALITY 3-1

 3.2.1 Meteorological Conditions..... 3-1

 3.2.2 Temperature and Rainfall 3-2

 3.2.3 Wind Flow Patterns..... 3-2

 3.2.4 Existing Air Quality 3-2

 3.3 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS 3-11

 3.3.1 Types of On-Site Hazards 3-11

 3.3.2 Transportation Risks 3-13

 3.3.3 Regulatory Background 3-14

 3.4 NOISE..... 3-15

 3.4.1 Refinery Existing Noise Levels 3-16

 3.4.2 Regulatory Background 3-21

 3.5 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC 3-23

 3.5.1 Regional Circulation 3-23

 3.5.2 Local Circulation 3-24

 3.5.3 Existing Traffic Conditions..... 3-26

 3.5.4 Regulatory Background 3-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	4-1
4.1 INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.2 AIR QUALITY	4-1
4.2.1 Significance Criteria	4-1
4.2.2 Environmental Impacts	4-5
4.2.3 Mitigation Measures	4-19
4.2.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-22
4.3 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	4-23
4.3.1 Significance Criteria	4-23
4.3.2 Environmental Impacts	4-23
4.3.3 Mitigation Measures	4-27
4.3.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-28
4.4 NOISE.....	4-29
4.4.1 Significance Criteria	4-29
4.4.2 Environmental Impacts	4-29
4.4.3 Mitigation Measures	4-33
4.4.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-33
4.5 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	4-33
4.5.1 Significance Criteria	4-33
4.5.2 Environmental Impacts	4-34
4.5.3 Mitigation Measures	4-37
4.5.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	4-37
4.6 OTHER CEQA TOPICS.....	4-37
4.6.1 Growth-Inducing Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	4-37
4.6.2 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes and Environmental Effects Which Cannot be Avoided	4-38
4.6.3 Environmental Effects Found not to be Significant.....	4-39
5.0 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-1
5.1 INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.2 RELATED PROJECTS	5-1
5.2.1 BP Carson Refinery Compliance And Safety Project (#1).....	5-1
5.2.2 BP New Administration Building (#2)	5-2
5.2.3 SCAQMD Rule 1105.1 Compliance Projects (#3).....	5-2
5.2.4 Shell Rule 1105.1 Compliance Project (#4)	5-3
5.2.5 SCAQMD Rule 1118 Compliance Projects (#5).....	5-3
5.2.6 Ultramar Inc. – Valero Wilmington Refinery; Alkylation Improvement Project (#6).....	5-4
5.2.7 ConocoPhillips Los Angeles Refinery (Carson Plant) SCR Project (#7).....	5-6
5.2.8 ConocoPhillips Los Angeles Refinery (Wilmington Plant) Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel Project (#8).....	5-6
5.2.9 Kinder Morgan Carson Terminal Expansion (#9)	5-7
5.2.10 Chemoil Terminals Corporation (#10).....	5-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
5.2.11 Alameda Corridor Transit Authority	5-8
5.2.12 Other CEQA Projects in the City of Carson	5-10
5.2.13 South Region High School No. 4 (#20)	5-11
5.3 AIR QUALITY	5-11
5.3.1 Construction Impacts	5-11
5.3.2 Operational Emission Impacts	5-13
5.3.3 Toxic Air Contaminants.....	5-16
5.3.4 Mitigation Measures	5-16
5.3.5 Level of Significance after Mitigation	5-17
5.4 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	5-17
5.4.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-17
5.4.2 Mitigation Measures	5-18
5.4.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation	5-18
5.5 NOISE.....	5-18
5.5.1 Construction Impacts	5-18
5.5.2 Operational Impacts	5-19
5.5.3 Mitigation Measures	5-20
5.5.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	5-20
5.6 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	5-20
5.6.1 Construction Impacts	5-20
5.6.2 Operational Impacts	5-21
5.6.3 Mitigation Measures	5-21
5.6.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation	5-22
6.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	6-1
6.1 INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2 ALTERNATIVES REJECTED AS INFEASIBLE	6-2
6.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	6-5
6.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-5
6.3.2 Alternative 2 – Compliance Projects Only	6-5
6.3.3 Alternative 3 – Alternative to Control Strategies for SCAQMD Rule 1105.1 Compliance.....	6-6
6.4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FROM THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	6-6
6.4.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-6
6.4.2 Alternative 2 – Compliance Projects Only Alternative	6-7
6.4.3 Alternative 3 – Alternative to Control Strategies for SCAQMD Rule 1105.1 Compliance.....	6-10
6.5 CONCLUSION.....	6-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
7.0 REFERENCES.....	7-1
7.1 REFERENCES	7-1
7.2 ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED.....	7-2
7.2.1 Organization.....	7-3
7.2.2 Individuals Consulted	7-3
7.3 LIST OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT PREPARERS	7-4
8.0 ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	8-1
8.1 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	8-1
8.2 GLOSSARY	8-6

APPENDICES:

APPENDIX A:	NOTICE OF PREPARATION/INITIAL STUDY (NOP/IS)
APPENDIX B:	PEAK EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX C:	CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS FOR ALTERNATIVE CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE
APPENDIX D:	HAZARD ANALYSIS
APPENDIX E:	TRAFFIC LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSES

FIGURES:

2-1:	REGIONAL MAP	2-3
2-2:	SITE LOCATION MAP.....	2-4
2-3:	PROJECT COMPONENT LOCATIONS	2-6
2-4:	BLOCK FLOW DIAGRAM BP CARSON REFINERY	2-7
2-5:	BP CARSON REFINERY SAFETY, COMPLIANCE AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE	2-17
3-1:	GENERAL NOISE SOURCES AND THEIR SOUND PRESSURE LEVELS	3-17
3-2:	BACKGROUND AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASURING LOCATIONS	3-20
3-3:	LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.....	3-25
4-1:	MAXIMUM IMPACT LOCATIONS	4-17
5-1:	CUMULATIVE PROJECTS LOCATION MAP.....	5-5

TABLES:

2-1:	FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL AGENCY PERMITS AND APPLICATIONS	2-20
3-1:	FEDERAL AND STATE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS	3-4
3-2:	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY SOUTH COASTAL LOS ANGELES COUNTY MONITORING STATION MAXIMUM OBSERVED CONCENTRATION (2001-2004).....	3-6
3-3:	BP CARSON REFINERY BASELINE CRITERIA POLLUTANT EMISSIONS	3-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3-4: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS – NORTH LONG BEACH MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION 2004 3-8

3-5: TRUCK ACCIDENT RATES FOR CARGO ON HIGHWAYS..... 3-14

3-6: NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS 3-18

3-7: RESULTS OF NOISE MONITORING 3-21

3-8: LAND USE COMPATIBILITY FOR COMMUNITY NOISE ENVIRONMENTS 3-22

3-9: CITY OF CARSON NOISE ORDINANCE LIMITS 3-23

3-10: REFINERY SAFETY, COMPLIANCE, AND OPTIMIZATION PROJECT EXISTING LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO CAPACITY RATIOS 3-27

4-1: AIR QUALITY SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS 4-2

4-2: DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE FOR RECLAIM POLLUTANTS AT THE BP CARSON REFINERY 4-4

4-3: BP CARSON REFINERY PEAK CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS 4-6

4-4: BP CARSON REFINERY STATIONARY SOURCE OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS 4-10

4-5: MAXIMUM REFINERY TAC EMISSIONS RATES FOR PROPOSED PROJECT..... 4-15

4-6: SUMMARY OF PROPOSED PROJECT CANCER RISK 4-16

4-7: PEAK DAILY CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS FOLLOWING MITIGATION..... 4-22

4-8: MAXIMUM HAZARD DISTANCES FOR MAXIMUM CREDIBLE EVENT IN EACH PROCESS UNIT/AREA 4-24

4-9: CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCES..... 4-30

4-10: PROJECT CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS 4-31

4-11: PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS 4-32

4-12: BP CARSON REFINERY CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS 4-36

5-1: RELATED PROJECTS IN THE CITY OF CARSON..... 5-10

5-2: CUMULATIVE CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY IMPACTS 5-12

5-3: CUMULATIVE CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY IMPACTS DURING FOURTH QUARTER 2006 5-14

5-4: CUMULATIVE OPERATIONAL AIR QUALITY IMPACTS 5-15

5-5: BP CARSON REFINERY CUMULATIVE TRAFFIC IMPACTS LEVEL OF SERVICES ANALYSIS AND VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIOS 5-22

6-1: ALTERNATIVE 2 ESTIMATED PEAK CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS 6-8

6-2: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES AS COMPARED TO PROPOSED PROJECT..... 6-13

VOLUME II

Health Risk Assessment