

From: James G Reynolds <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2025 8:18 AM
To: Yanrong Zhu <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Nicole Felsette Reynolds <[REDACTED]>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Amended Rule 1111 and 1121

AQMD,

We can't say it any better than what our friend said;

"I recently became aware of a scheme by The South Coast Air Quality Management District (the "AQMD") to mandate the elimination of residential natural gas appliances and heaters starting in 2027. **I am firmly opposed to this overreach** as it will cost property owners countless thousands of dollars in initial outlays and higher operating costs with little or no environmental benefit.

What is your basis for such financially punishing policies? Where is the cost / benefit analysis? California's electricity rates are already the highest in the nation and the grid is failing to provide reliable service. Since air is fungible, there can be no benefit from these policies as California's global impact is negligible.

The AQMD was not elected by the people. The draconian policies you are proposing are not necessary and extremely costly. I would like a response to my input along with the full economic and environmental analysis for your proposals."

Thank you,

Nicole and Jim Reynolds