

**From:** De Guzman, Clarence <[Clarence.DeGuzman@cityofrc.us](mailto:Clarence.DeGuzman@cityofrc.us)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 9, 2022 10:11 AM  
**To:** Henry Pourzand <[HPourzand@agmd.gov](mailto:HPourzand@agmd.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Hello from the City of Rancho Cucamonga - Engineering

Hi Henry,

I forgot to include our initial comments based on the most recent proposed rule language. As we had discussed yesterday, unless the rule language drastically changes to include city and county jurisdictions, the rule as it stands, is not applicable to us. Nonetheless, I thought I'd still share these with you for additional insights/perspective.

---

**CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA PRELIMINARY COMMENTS FOR SCAQMD PROPOSED RULE 403.2**

*It appears that the majority of these requirements would only affect projects typically handled by Caltrans or SBCTA (in our area). Per the definition provided in Working Group #4, a large roadway carries 100,000 cars or more per day. In Rancho Cucamonga, this would only apply to freeways, as our arterials do not come close to that. One of our busiest roadways (Foothill Blvd./Day Creek Blvd.), sees around 55k vehicles per day.*

*However, if that definition changes, there here are our major concerns:*

- 1) Maintain the definition of large roadway projects that includes a requirement that the regulations only apply to projects on roadways with average daily traffic (ADT) of greater than 100,000. Should that not be the case, the following comments/concerns apply:**
  - a. Projects closer than 100 feet from the property line for residential, office, business, parks, etc., would include 100% of the project on City public streets, making them impossible to physically execute.**
  - b. The exemption process would be overly burdensome on local agencies from a time, personnel, and cost standpoint.**
  - c. It is critical that the dust control supervisor be allowed to be contracted and part of the contractor staff. Otherwise, inspection costs will overwhelm most project budgets.**
  - d. 4' x 8' signs will not fit in the public right-of-way of many city streets without creating ADA compliance issues.**
  - e. Written notification along with notification signs seems excessive and unnecessary.**

Best,

1



**Clarence de Guzman**  
Management Analyst I  
Community Development | City of Rancho Cucamonga



1-909-774-4024  
10500 Civic Center Drive,  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730  
[www.CityofRC.us](http://www.CityofRC.us)



---

*This e-mail message, including attachments, is for the use of the intended recipient and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.*